



INCLUSIVE EDUCATION

INEDU – Inclusive Education – project description + partnership

HANDBOOK FOR POLICY MAKERS

Recommendations for policy makers on Inclusive education policies in pre- schools

ISIG – Institute of International Sociology of Gorizia

PARTNER

Disclaimer: The European Commission support for the production of this publication does not constitute an endorsement of the contents which reflects the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union



INDEX

1	INTRODUCTION	9
1.1	THE PROJECT	9
1.2	THE INEDU RESEARCH: STRUCTURE AND MAIN RESULTS	9
1.3	THE HANDBOOK FOR POLICY-MAKERS – OBJECTIVES AND STRUCTURE	10
2	SECTION 1 – POLICY FRAMEWORKS FOR INTEGRATION AND INCLUSIVE EDUCATION IN THE EU	11
2.1	FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS, EQUALITY AND ANTI-DISCRIMINATION IN EDUCATION POLICIES	11
2.2	MIGRATION AND INTEGRATION	13
2.3	SOCIAL INCLUSION AND COHESION	15
2.4	EDUCATION AND TRAINING	16
3	SECTION 2 – ANALYSING ADAPTED EDUCATION SYSTEMS IN THE INEDU PARTNERSHIP – THE CASES OF UK, SWEDEN AND ITALY	19
3.1	THE CASE OF SWEDEN – EDUCATION SYSTEM AND MAIN POLICIES	19
3.1.1	The Swedish Education System	19
3.1.2	Main policies and legal framework on integration and inclusive education in Sweden.....	21
3.2	THE CASE OF UK – EDUCATION SYSTEM AND MAIN POLICIES	24
3.2.1	The UK Education System	24
3.2.2	Main policies and legal framework on integration and inclusive education in UK.....	25
3.3	THE CASE OF ITALY– EDUCATION SYSTEM AND MAIN POLICIES	28
3.3.1	The Italian Education System.....	28
3.3.2	Main policies and legal framework on integration and inclusive education in Italy.....	29
3.4	PRELIMINARY CONSIDERATION ON ADAPTED EDUCATION SYSTEMS.....	32
3.4.1	Defining target groups	32
3.4.2	Synergies between different institutional levels	32
3.4.3	Participation in pre-school and the risk of ‘educational segregation’	33
4	SECTION 3 – A CASE-STUDY APPROACH TO INCLUSIVE EDUCATION: GOOD PRACTICES IN SWEDEN, UK AND ITALY	37
4.1	LESSONS LEARNED FROM SWEDEN	37
4.1.1	The case-study: Integration for migrant children. Native language and multilingual unit	37
4.2	LESSONS LEARNED FROM UK.....	40
4.2.1	The case-study: Support Assistant for newcomer Pupils.....	40
4.3	LESSONS LEARNED FROM ITALY – A FOCUS ON FRIULI VENEZIA GIULIA REGION.....	42
4.3.1	The case-study: Coloriamo il mondo / Let’s color the world	42
4.3.2	The case-study: ABC Integriamo / ABC Let’s Integrate	42
4.3.3	The case-study: Support module on intercultural communication	43
4.3.4	The case-study: <i>Insieme sin da piccoli. Esperienze di nidi d’infanzia del Comune di Trieste con I mediatori culturali / Together since childhood. Experiences in Trieste preschools with cultural mediators</i>	43
4.4	LESSONS LEARNED FROM ITALY – A NATIONAL OVERVIEW	45
4.4.1	The case-study: Laboratorio Intrecci / Entanglements Lab	45

4.4.2	The case study: Centro Interculturale per l'Infanzia Ar Rafiq - Spazi aperti per l'Intercultura e la Cittadinanza / Ar rafiq Intercultural Centre for childhood – Open spaces for interculturality and citizenship	46
4.4.3	The case study: Figure di integrazione – FEI / Figures of Integration – FEI.....	47
4.4.4	The initiative	47
4.4.5	The case study: EMPAC, Engaging Migrant Parents and Children	48
4.4.6	The initiative	48
4.4.7	The case-study: Multicultural Schools Project.....	50
4.4.8	The case-study: Multicultural Schools Project.....	50
4.5	SUCCESS FACTORS AND CHALLENGES – CASE STUDIES FROM SWEDEN, UK AND ITALY	51
4.5.1	Success factors and challenges in Sweden	52
4.5.2	Success factors and challenges in UK.....	54
4.5.3	Success factors and challenges in Italy	55
4.6	COMMON SUCCESS FACTORS IN “ADAPTED SYSTEMS” OF SOCIAL INCLUSION	59
4.6.1	Success Factor: CULTURAL AND/OR LINGUISTIC MEDIATION	59
4.6.2	Success factor: PRESENCE OF DIFFERENT ETHNIC GROUPS IN THE AREA/REGION	59
4.6.3	Success factor: AWARENESS RAISING AND INFORMATION CAMPAIGNS/EVENTS.....	59
4.6.4	Success Factor: HUMAN RESOURCES	60
4.7	COMMON CHALLENGES IN “ADAPTED SYSTEMS” OF SOCIAL INCLUSION	61
4.7.1	Challenge: LACK OF RESOURCES.....	61
4.7.2	Challenge: CONTINUITY/SUSTAINABILITY OF THE PROJECT	61
4.7.3	Challenge: COORDINATION OF EXISTING RESOURCES	61
5	SECTION 4 – ANALYSING ‘ADAPTIVE EDUCATION SYSTEMS’ – A COMPARATIVE PERSPECTIVE	63
5.1	DEFINING THE TARGET GROUP OF INCLUSIVE EDUCATION PRACTICES – ANALYSING THE EXPERIENCE OF INEDU PROJECT COUNTRIES	63
5.1.1	The centrality of national minorities	63
5.1.2	Refugees and asylum seekers. A new test for educational systems?.....	66
5.1.3	Common challenges and national specificities.....	68
5.1.4	Spatial and school segregation	68
5.1.5	Centre-periphery dynamics	69
5.1.6	Gender imbalances: the changing role of women.....	70
5.1.7	The role of religion.....	70
5.2	TOOLS FOR EDUCATIONAL INTEGRATIONS: POLICY AND LEGISLATIVE FRAMEWORKS IN COUNTRIES WITH ADAPTIVE EDUCATIONAL SYSTEMS	72
5.2.1	Macedonia	72
5.2.2	Estonia	72
5.2.3	Czech Republic.....	73
5.2.4	Romania – The case of Constanta.....	73
5.2.5	Romania – The Case Oradea.....	74
5.2.6	Poland.....	75
5.2.7	From target-specific measures to wider policies.....	75
5.3	POLICIES’ COMMON KEY-ISSUES AT THE INEDU PARTNERSHIP LEVEL	77
5.3.1	The role of language integration	77
5.3.2	Involving families and civil society in the educational process.....	78
5.3.3	Overcoming educational segregation both in countries with adapted and adaptive systems.....	78
5.4	CASE-STUDIES AND INTEGRATION INITIATIVES IN COUNTRIES WITH ADAPTIVE EDUCATIONAL SYSTEMS	80
5.4.1	Speaking the same language(s)?.....	80

5.4.2	Synergies between different actors: the role of families and public and private institutions in actions of educational integration	83
5.4.3	Implementing monitoring and assessment procedures	86
5.5	COMMON SUCCESS FACTORS AND CHALLENGES IN ‘ADAPTIVE EDUCATION SYSTEMS’	90
5.5.1	Institutional Dimension	90
5.5.2	Administrative dimension	90
5.5.3	Economic dimension	91
5.5.4	Social dimension	92
5.5.5	Human dimension	93
6	SECTION 5 – FUTURE STEPS FOR INCLUSIVE EDUCATION POLICIES AND INITIATIVES.....	95
6.1	FROM CONCLUSIONS TO NEXT STEPS	95
6.2	THE INEDU STEPS FOR INCLUSIVE EDUCATION POLICY MAKING	95
6.2.1	Context Analysis.....	95
6.2.2	Target group identification	96
6.2.3	Stakeholder Mapping.....	96
6.2.4	Participatory Design and Review	96
6.2.5	Monitoring and Evaluation	96
6.3	K.I.T.E PARADIGM FOR INCLUSIVE EDUCATION POLICIES.....	97
7	REFERENCES	99

TABLES

Table 1 – Macedonia: Policies and legal frameworks overview.....	72
Table 2 – Estonia: Policies and legal frameworks overview	73
Table 3 – Czech Republic: Policies and legal frameworks overview.....	73
Table 4 – Romania (Constanta): Policies and legal frameworks overview	74
Table 5 – Romania (Oradea): Policies and legal frameworks overview	74
Table 6 – Poland: Policies and legal frameworks overview.....	75
Table 7 – Macedonia: case study - Desire to Learn –Educational Activities at the Transit Centres.....	81
Table 8 – Estonia: Case-study: Language immersion	81
Table 9 – Czech Republic: Case-study: Promoting awareness, tolerance and respect	82
Table 10 – Romania: Case-study: Assistance to asylum seekers and refugees	83
Table 11 – Macedonia: Case-study: Video Letters	84
Table 12 – Czech Republic: Case-study: Support for teachers – language barriers	85
Table 13 – Romania: Case-study: Equal opportunities for all children, in a society without discrimination ..	86
Table 14 – Macedonia: Case-study: Inclusion of Roma Children in Public Child Institutions.....	87
Table 15 – Czech Republic: Case-study: Multicultural education in the kindergarten Semínko	88
Table 16 – Romania: Case-study: Equal opportunities for All Children in a Non-Discriminatory Society	88

FIGURES

Figure 1 – Institutional dimension: success factors and challenges.....	90
Figure 2 – Administrative dimension: success factors and challenges.....	91
Figure 3 – Economic dimension: success factors and challenges.....	91
Figure 4 – Social dimension: success factors and challenges	92
Figure 5 – Human dimension: success factors and challenges.....	93